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Abstract

Background: Medical emergencies can occur at any time in the dental practice, posing a direct threat to patient’s life. No information is available on the occurrence of, and dentists’ readiness for, medical emergencies in Lithuanian dental practice. Objective: This study was designed to obtain information on the incidence of medical emergencies in Lithuanian dental practice and dentists’ knowledge and readiness for such events. Methods: The cross-sectional study used an original sample of 510 randomly selected Lithuanian dentists. The semi-self administered questionnaire consisted of two parts: a demographic part and knowledge test. The questionnaires was distributed and collected between December 2015 and February 2016. Results: The response rate was 80.8%. Almost all respondents (93.9%) have participated in first aid courses at least once, however 32.5% of all respondents do not know what drugs are in their emergency kit. Every third respondent doubts that he could perform initial resuscitation effectively. The number of correct answers in the knowledge test ranged from 8 to 23 (mean, 18.2±2.9) out of 25. Conclusion: The results of this study reflect an alarming situation about the capability of dentists to deal with medical emergencies. Attending continuing dental education programs consisting of workshops and hands-on courses in this field should be mandatory.
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Introduction

Although uncommon, medical emergencies can occur at any time in the dental office, possibly posing a direct threat to the patient’s life [1]. It was found that almost half of all patients treated in dental offices have at least one chronic disease. Since some diseases and their treatments increase the likelihood of a medical emergency during dental care, dentists must be prepared to manage a variety of medical emergencies [2]. With adequate preparation developed through knowledge of the patient’s medical history, having emergency-related drugs and equipment available, and having either knowledge or a written protocol to follow, a dentist can successfully manage most medical emergencies without assistance [3]. Medical emergencies can occur frequently in the dental setting; about 70.2 percent of general dental practitioners in the United Kingdom have managed such events, in general these emergencies are not life-threatening [4]. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) was performed in the management of 1.1 to 1.4 percent of events in the dental office [5]. Other studies have demonstrated that about one in seven Australian practitioners had resuscitated a patient [6]; five percent of 244 Ohio dentists had performed CPR on a patient [7]; and three percent of Brazilian professionals mentioned the occurrence of cardiopulmonary arrest in their dental offices [8]. These studies also noted that the frequency of these medical emergencies appears to be increasing. Effective management of an emergency situation in the dental office is ultimately the dentist’s responsibility [9]. A lack of training
and inability to cope with medical emergencies can lead to tragic consequences [10]. For this reason, all health professionals, including dentists, should be well prepared to attend to medical emergencies [11]. Providing basic life support (BLS) is the dentist’s most important contribution until definitive treatment for a medical emergency can be given.

Although medical emergency education has been taught in most European and American dental schools, little has been published about the self-perceived competence and readiness of dentists in regard to managing a medical emergency [12-15]. In fact it was reported that one of the greatest anxieties of dental practitioners’ in general clinical situations was ‘dealing with medical emergencies’ [16]. Although global studies provide useful background data, no information is available on the occurrence of, and dentists’ readiness for, medical emergencies in dental practice in Lithuania. This study was designed to obtain information on the incidence of medical emergencies in Lithuanian dental practice and dentists’ knowledge and readiness for such events.

**Materials and Methods**

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Lithuanian University of Health Sciences Bioethics Committee (approval number BES-OF-40). The sample size was determined by the formula of Paniotto [17].

\[
n = \frac{1}{\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{N}} = \frac{1}{0.05^2 + \frac{1}{4048}} \approx 364
\]

Where:

- \(n\) – the sample size
- \(\Delta\) - error
- \(N\) – the population size

The cross-sectional study method was used and an original sample of 510 (out of 4048 active) dentists was randomly selected by computer from Lithuanian Dental Chamber registry [18]. The semi-self administered questionnaire consisted of two parts: a demographic part and the knowledge test. To evaluate dentists’ knowledge objectively the test created by Protzman and others was used with the author’s permission [19]. An English-Lithuanian-English test translation was administered. The demographic part of the questionnaire consisted of 23 questions about the respondents’ gender, age, university of graduation, specialization, experience, workplace, type of anesthesia used, medical emergencies encountered, first aid courses completed and the availability of emergency drugs and equipment. The knowledge test which consisted of 25 questions sought information on three main topics:

1. **Emergency medicine basics**
   
   Questions were asked on the signs and symptoms of medical emergencies and collection of patients’ medical history.

2. **First aid**
   
   Questions were asked on first aid options in case of medical emergencies.

3. **Diagnosis and treatment**
   
   Five clinical situations of medical emergencies were given and the respondents were asked to diagnose and to prescribe treatment.

Questionnaire validity was confirmed by the pilot study. The questionnaire was distributed to a randomly selected group of private practice dental offices and state polyclinics. Inclusion criteria were any private dental practice or a state polyclinic that possesses dental clinic(s) in Lithuania. Clinics from different geographic locations of Lithuania were included. The questionnaire was distributed and collected personally between December 2015 and February 2016. The dentists and dental offices participated on a voluntary basis and were assured of the confidentiality of the responses. The respondents were supplied with the questionnaires and the consent forms and were asked to complete it within a few days. The study was conducted in full accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 22.0 software package. When analyzing the data, descriptive statistics were calculated and statistical hypotheses about the differences between the averages of the frequency and characteristics of interdependence were assessed. For the assessment procedures on the statistical hypotheses, a significance level of \(P = 0.05\) was selected. Quantitative variable distribution normality was checked using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Comparison of two groups of averages used the Student t or...
nonparametric Mann-Whitney test, and for more than two groups we used parametric and nonparametric analysis of variance (ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis test). For multiple pairwise comparisons we applied the LSD test. The connection between the signs has been applied to determine the Spearman correlation coefficient. Forecasting by measuring signs of the values used binary logistic regression analysis.

Results
By 2016 January there were 4048 active dentists in Lithuania [18]. Completed questionnaires were received from 412 dentists; the response rate was 80.8%. The age of respondents varied from 23 to 71 years (mean 39.4±12.6). The number of years spent in dental practice ranged from 1 to 47 years (mean 15.2±12.3 yr). More detailed demographic information is presented in Table 1.

Nineteen doctors mentioned that they sometimes treat patients under general anesthesia. It was agreed by 54.1% of respondents that the anamnesis of the patient’s health should be collected on the patient’s first arrival and renewed every time. The number of respondents who had experienced a medical emergency during their practice in the past 10 years was 230 (55.8%). The most common medical emergencies were: syncope- met by 46.6% of all respondents, circulatory depression (20.4%), epilepsy (16.7%), and hypoglycemia (8.7%). It was asked if the respondents could help during 16 specified medical emergencies. The results of their opinion are presented in Fig. 1.

Table- 1: Demographic information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic information</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuanian University of Health Sciences</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>88.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vilnius university</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral surgeon</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodontologist</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endodontologist</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric dentist</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosthodontist</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthodontist</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General dental Practitioner</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>57.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>workplace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countryside</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State polyclinic</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure- 1: The ability to help in case of medical emergencies
A total of 362 ambulance calls were mentioned, 36.9% of respondents at least once during their practice have called an ambulance due to a medical emergency. The majority of respondents (93.9%) have participated in first aid courses at least once, however 17.5% of respondents mentioned that their assistants haven’t taken these courses. Only 1.5% of all respondents did not agree that first aid courses are useful for dentists.

When it was asked what drugs are in the respondents’ emergency kit, 32.5% of them didn’t knew the answer and 45.9% of them didn’t knew what emergency equipment was kept at their workplace. The most commonly available emergency drugs in emergency kits were adrenaline (28.2%) and prednisolone (9.2%). The most popular emergency equipment was a blood pressure monitor (16.5%). Only 28.2% of all respondents say that they could properly use drugs for the medical emergencies. Almost (41.5%) of all respondents claimed that they could use emergency equipment properly. Nearly one third (31.8%) of respondents believe that they could not perform initial resuscitation effectively.

As was mentioned previously, the second part of the questionnaire consisted of 25 multiple choice questions, intended to check the dentist’s knowledge about medical emergencies objectively. The number of correct answers in the first part ranged from 4 to 13 (mean 10.2±1.8); in the second part from 1 to 7 (mean, 4.1±1.1); and in the third part from 0 to 5 (mean 3.9±1). The amount of collected points is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: The number of collected points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of collected points</th>
<th>% of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤10</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16-20]</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was seen that specialization seemed to have influence on the level of knowledge of the dentists. The numbers of correct answers due to specialization are shown in Fig. 2.

Vilnius University graduates had higher mean knowledge scores (18.23±3.58) overall than those who graduated from Lithuanian University of Health Sciences (18.18±2.77) out of a maximum possible score of 25 this difference was not significant (P = 0.498).

The mean knowledge score collected by women was 18.22±2.96, it was higher than men’s score (18.03±2.44), but the difference was not significant (P = 0.262).

The ANOVA test showed that the number of years spent in dental practice had no correlation with the knowledge test score (P>0.05). The dentists from urban areas had a significantly higher mean knowledge score (18.35±2.82) than
their counterparts from rural areas (17.39±3.00) (P = 0.012).
There was a significant difference in the number of correct answers in relation to workplace (P<0.05). The dentists who work in private practice had a higher mean knowledge score (18.56±2.49) than their colleagues from state sector (17.11±3.68).
The dentists who reported that they have met a medical emergency during their practice, had statistically significant lower mean of knowledge test score (18.05±2.72) than those who have never met medical emergency during their practice (18.36±3.06) (P=0.046).

Discussion
This was the first study, evaluating Lithuanian dentists’ knowledge and preparedness for medical emergencies. Because of the random sampling procedure and the high response rate, the study findings should be highly representative of all the population of dental practitioners in Lithuania.
Fortunately, the incidence of emergency events seen in the dental practice is not very high, but when an emergency does occur it can be life-threatening. The results obtained from the present study show that the most common medical emergencies met in the dental practice are: syncope, circulatory depression, epilepsy and hypoglycemia. The rate of medical emergencies reported in this study is similar to the rates shown in most previous studies [20-22].
In the present study, the main objective was to determine dentists’ knowledge about medical emergencies, the ability to use medical emergency drugs and equipment, the ability to diagnose medical emergencies and to prescribe proper treatment. In most of previous studies, dentists knowledge and preparedness for medical emergencies were evaluated subjectively without the usage of any objective knowledge test [20, 23-28].
The results obtained from the present study show that 54.1% agree that the anamnesis of the patient’s health should be collected on the patient’s first arrival and renewed every time. While Kumarswami et al. [26] stated in their study that 98% enquire and 12% get proformas completed by the patient; 38.4% obtain the vital signs from the patient. Al-Sebaei et al. [20] stated in their study that 92% of the offices reported that they obtain a thorough medical history prior to treatment. The evaluation of patients should include a thorough medical history and appropriate physical examination at the time of admission, updating the medical histories at every appointment, and routine monitoring of vital signs prior to initiation of treatment. Consultation with appropriately trained faculty members should be sought for any patient whose condition is deemed to be medically complex at the time of intake, and consultation with the physician should occur when indicated [2].
Gupta et al. [29] stated in their study that less than half (42.1%) of the dentists reported having received practical training in management of medical emergencies during their undergraduate and postgraduate education. While Kumarswami et al. [26] reported that only less than one-tenth of the respondents had attended the courses whereas the results of our study showed that almost all participants (93.9%) had attended workshops regarding this and 98.5% of them agree that such courses were useful.
According to the data obtained in our study, more than half of the dentists (68.2%) were sure about their ability to perform the initial resuscitation effectively. Chapman et al [24] carried out a study and found similar results to our study. In their trial 55% of dentists felt competent to perform effective initial resuscitation.
Only 36.2% of all respondents stated that they have the same emergency kit, which is exactly like that stated by the Law. Only 28.2% of dentists know how to use their emergency drugs and equipment correctly. This can be attributed to the ignorance and lack of interest of dentists toward the preparedness for medical emergency. However, the results were different in a study carried out by Broadbent et al. [28] who found that 80.8% of dentists reported having appropriate emergency kit in their office.
Gupta et al. [30] found that the most commonly available emergency drugs in treatment areas were oral glucose (82.8%), adrenaline (65.8%) and bronchodilator spray (24.7%). The results obtained in our study showed that the most commonly available emergency drugs in emergency kits were adrenaline and
prednisolone. The most popular emergency equipment was a blood pressure monitor.

Chandrasekaran et al. [31] carried out a study to evaluate awareness of basic life support among medical, dental, nursing students and doctors and conducted that their knowledge was very poor and needed to be improved. Similarly, Sudeep et al. [32] conducted a study to evaluate the awareness of basic life support among students and teaching faculty in a dental college and conducted that their knowledge needed to be improved and updated. In our study we used a 25-question multiple choice questionnaire, the average number of correct answers was 18.2. The last five questions were clinical situations with described medical emergencies and only one third of all respondents diagnosed the medical emergencies correctly. That shows a moderate knowledge level about medical emergencies of Lithuanian dentists.

Dentists are members of medical profession and should be confident in dealing with emergencies which may arise during their work. However our results indicate a worrying picture of the level of competence which the dentists have in dealing with such emergencies as do occur in the dental office. Minimal knowledge about these incidents leads to feelings of insecurity, dissatisfaction or limited appreciation of responsibility of dentists. The accumulation of improved knowledge is the key to success. Having reliable knowledge, appropriate emergency kit and being ready to cope with any medical emergency enables the dentist to be calm and confident, and to do his main job with reduced stress, which leads to better results. A better acquaintance with the correct response to medical emergencies is crucial for further expansion of dentistry in Lithuania. This will ensure better provision and safer dental healthcare services for the population [33]. In addition to being aware of state dental practice acts, the dentist should also be familiar with the accepted treatments and protocols for medical emergencies, which often become the basic for a legal standard of care. Failure to use the degree of care considered appropriate under the circumstances, which results in unintentional injury, is negligence.

**Conclusion**

The best way to handle a medical emergency is to be prepared in advance. Dentists, being members of the healthcare profession, should be prepared to deal with medical emergencies which may arise at their workplace. But the results of our study reflect an alarming situation about the capability of dentists to deal with such conditions. Attending continuing dental education programs consisting of workshops and hands-on courses in this field should be made mandatory.
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